## LAKEWOOD BOARD OF EDUCATION

#### 200 RAMSEY AVE

## LAKEWOOD, NJ 08701

# COMPETITIVE CONTRACT CC 02-2223

## COMMITTEE EVALUATION REPORT

Three responses were received for CC 02-2223 on June 30, 2022 @ 10:00 a.m. for Nonpublic School Instructional Services for Title I, III, IV and Chapter 192/193, opened publicly via live stream and were scored by an Evaluation Committee as follows. All supporting documentation is on file in the Business Office:

There are four instructional components of this Competitive Contract and each was scored separately.

TREE OF KNO	WLEDGE					
192/193 Line Item 0001		TECHNICA	L MANAGEMENT	COST	TOTAL POINTS	
		MAX 45 PTS	MAX 55 PTS	MAX 0 PTS		
		45				
SCORER 1		45	50			
SCORER 2		45	50			
SCORER 3		45	50			
	AVG	45	50	0	95	TOTAL 0001
TITLE I Line Item 0002		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS		
SCORER 1		34	30			
SCORER 2		34	30			
SCORER 3		34	30			
	AVG	34	30	28.65	92.65	TOTAL 0002
TITLE III Line Item 0003		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS		
SCORER 1		28	30			
SCORER 2		28	30			
SCORER 3		28	30	+ +		

	AVG	28	30	28.65	86.65	TOTAL 0003
TITLE IV Line Item 0004		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS		
SCORER 1		34	30			
SCORER 2		34	30			
SCORER 3		34	30			
	AVG	34	30	28.65	91.74	<b>TOTAL 0004</b>
				AVERAGE ALL SERVICES TOK		90.49 POINTS
<u>TENDER</u> TOUCH	_					
192/193		TECHNICAL	MANAGEMENT	COST		
-		MAX 45 PTS	MAX 55 PTS	MAX 0 PTS		
SCORER 1		45	55			
SCORER 1		45	55	+		
SCORER 2		45	55			
SCORER 3	AVG	45 45	55		100	TOTAL 0001
TITLE I		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS		
SCORER 1		35	30			
SCORER 2		35	30			
SCORER 3		35	30			
	AVG	35	30	27.74	92.74	TOTAL 0002
TITLE III		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS		
SCORER 1		35	30			
SCORER 2		35	30			
SCORER 3		35	30			
	AVG	35	30	27.74	92.74	TOTAL 0003
TITLE IV		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS		
SCORER 1		35	30			
SCORER 2		35	30			
SCORER 3		35	30			

	AVG	35	30	27.74	92.74	TOTAL 0004	
CATAPULT				AVERAGE ALL SERVICES TENDER TOUCH		94.55 POINTS	
192/193		TECHNICAL		COST			
		MAX 45 PTS	MAX 55 PTS	MAX 0 PTS			
SCORER 1		43.5	52				
SCORER 2		43.5	52				
SCORER 3		43.5	52				
	AVG	43.5	52		95.5	TOTAL 0001	
TITLE I		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS			
SCORER 1		33.5	29				
SCORER 2		33.5	29				
SCORER 3		33.5	29				
	AVG	33.5	29	29.51	92.01	TOTAL 0002	
TITLE III		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS			
SCORER 1		35	27				
SCORER 2		35	27				
SCORER 3		35	27				
	AVG	35	27	29.51	91.51	TOTAL 0003	
TITLE IV		MAX 35 PTS	MAX 30 PTS	MAX 35 PTS			
SCORER 1		33	30				
SCORER 2		33	30				
SCORER 3		33	30				
	AVG	33	\$30.00	\$29.51	92.51	TOTAL 0004	
				AVERAGE AL	L SERVICES	92.89 POINTS	

The Cost Component for each Category is as follows. Each category was scored independently and then the average of all categories was used as the final point value for the cost component. This value

together with the Technical and Management scores determined the total final score for each respondent.

LINE ITEMS 0002, 0003 AND 0004		<u>Catapult</u>	<u>Tender</u> <u>Touch</u>	<u>ток</u>
HOURLY RATES				
MA CERTIFIED TEACHER		\$94.00	\$112.00	\$110.00
	PT VALUE	35	29.37	29.9
BA CERTIFIED TEACHER		\$88.00	\$96.00	\$100.00
	PT VALUE	35	32.08	30.8
PARA/SUB		\$67.18	\$90.00	\$70.00
	PT VALUE	35	26.12	33.59
LCSW, LPC, PSY.D., PH.D. PSYCHOLOGY		\$151.76	\$135.00	\$125.00
	PT VALUE	28.85	32.41	35
LSW/LAC		\$144.54	\$120.00	\$80.00
	PT VALUE	19.37	22.4	35
ESL TEACHER(TITLE III)		\$94.00	\$102.00	\$110.00
	PT VALUE	35	32.25	29.9
SPEECH & LANGUAGE PATHOLOGIST		\$99.59	\$112.00	Not Submitted
	PT VALUE	35	31.25	0
TITLE IV PRESENTATIONS (HR)		\$750.00	\$600.00	\$275.00
		12.83	16.04	35
	AVERAGE POINT VALUE FOR COST	29.51	27.74	28.65

All respondents were responsible and responsive to the specifications of this competitive contract.

NOTES:

**Catapult** listed a different hourly rate for Title I BA teacher in the body of their proposal but this was not requested on the proposal page. The District only requested one hourly rate in this category and therefor the rate listed by Catapult on the proposal page of the specifications was the cost used throughout their response. Catapult did not delineate clearly what criteria they would be following and

lost 1 point. They also lost .5 point for not showing evidence of using standardized test scores for determining eligibility in two separate instances. Catapult's proposal was missing one certification of their staff and lost 1 point and also lost 2 points for not providing evidence of an effective record keeping system. They lost a point for failing to list the allowable services to be provided under Title I. Under Title III they lost a total of 3 points for not provided the requisite number of ESL certifications. Lastly, Catapult lost 2 points in their explanation of Title IV presentations.

Tender Touch received perfect scores for both Technical and Management in all categories.

**Tree of Knowledge** lost 5 points for failing to affix a copy of NJDOE approvals for these services with their submission. They lost 1 point for omitting a portion of the requisite items under the counseling category and 5 points for failing to show evidence that services will be in compliance with eligibility criteria for Title III. They lost 3 points for not providing all supporting background documentation for their paraprofessionals.

All three vendors are recommended for award by the Evaluation Committee.